

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/683,853	ZENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Hanh Phan</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>27 May 2004</u>		Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>102(b) Burrus Rejection</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>1-10, 12, 18-28</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>Burrus</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
		(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant that the Burrus reference still read on the instant invention because the term "generally quartz material" is indistinguishable from silica quartz preform. In order to advance prosecution, applicant thus agreed to place claims 6-7 in its independent form, cancel claims 1, 3, 18-23 and change the dependency of claims 2, 4-5, and 12 to claim 6. Additionally, it was agreed to cancel product claims 24-26 as being non-elected by the original presentation of the method claims.